Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o IGP, Baradari Gardens, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2881 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh. Hakam Singh-ASI and Sh. Davinder Pal Singh, SI O/o SSP Patiala for the

Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.07.2020 has sought information on 07 points regarding the compliance of section 4 of the RTI Act – time limit for furnishing/uploading the record of monthly minutes of meeting for the year 2017 to 2020 held by SSP office – budget allocated to each of its agency indicating particulars of all plans – link of the website if the said information is available in the public domain – the procedure of selection & removal of members of PP Saanjh Kendra Urban Estate Tripri & Civil Lines of Patiala for the year 2017 to 2020 - list of members of PP Saanjh Kendra as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Patiala. The appellant was denied the information by the PIO vide letter dated 08.08.2020 under section 8(1)(j) after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was earlier allotted to the bench of Sh.Sanjiv Garg and Sh.Asit Jolly, State Information Commissioners. Now the case has been re-allotted to the full bench vide order of the Chief Information Commissioner dated 23.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. The respondent present pleaded that the information cannot be provided under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.08.2020.

The appellant is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Bench overrules the PIO's view and directs the PIO to provide the following information/reply:

- Point 1 to 3 - To file a point-wise reply

- Point-4 - To provide the link if section 4 has been complied with or not? If

not to give in writing on an affidavit

- Point-5 & 6 - To provide the information

- Point-7 - To provide information from the year 2017 onwards

Appeal Case No. 2881 of 2020

The information be provided within 21 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/- Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)

Dated: 08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Community Affairs Division, Phase-7, Near Mataur Thaan, Opposite Scooter Market, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Community Affairs Division, Phase-7, Near Mataur Thaan, Opposite Scooter Market, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2857 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Akash Verma as the Appellant

None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 19.06.2020 has sought information on 04 points regarding data/records maintained by PP Saanjh Kendra HQ/Main server regarding missing from Govt Children Home/Govt approved Private Children Home/Observation Homes/Correction Homes of Punjab from 2000-2020 comprising total number of missing children, total number of missing children found – number of children whose photo/sketches not available in record –number of children whose record is pending for digitalization etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Community Affair Division Phase-7 Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first allotted to Sh.Avtar Singh Kaler, State Information Commissioner. Thereafter, as per the order of the CIC dated 23.12.2020, the case has been allotted to the undersigned bench for hearing and final decision.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 17.02.2021 has sent the information to the Commission which has been taken on record. The appellant has seen the information and requested for a copy of the same. A copy of the information received from the PIO is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

Appeal Case No. 2857 of 2020

With the above, information stands provided. No further course of action is required.

The case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)

Dated: 08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o IGP, Chhotti Baradari, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o IGP, Chhotti Baradari, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2642 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Akash Verma as the Appellant

None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.07.2020 has sought information on 03 points regarding complaint diary No.20584 dated 01.10.2018 relating to CCTNS record tampering at PS Tripri Patila with involvement of SSP M.S.Sidhu submitted to IG office Patiala as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of IGP Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the information/reply of the PIO dated 24.07.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

Versus

The case was earlier allotted to the bench of Sh.Asit Jolly, State Information Commissioner. Now the case has been re-allotted to the full bench vide order of the Chief Information Commissioner dated 23.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. As per the appellant, he has received the information on point-3 but the PIO has not supplied the information on points-1 & 2.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Bench observes that the appellant basically wants action taken on his complaint dated 01.10.2018. The PIO-IGP Patiala is directed to file an appropriate reply whether any action has been taken on the complaint of the complainant and if no action has been taken, to give in writing.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/- Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)
Dated :08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, O/o IGP, Chhotti Baradari, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2674 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh. Hakam Singh-ASI O/o SSP Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 22.06.2020 has sought information on 05 points regarding the copy of the letter issued against the applicant under command of SSP Mandeep Singh stating the applicant as Anti Police & to remain vigilant against the applicant – total number of FIRs registered – date & reasons for blocking applicant's Twitter account – total number of users blocked by Patiala police Twitter handle – total revenue generated through challans/fines for violation of Covid-19 Curfew as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 17.07.2020 stating that the information does not cover under section 2(f) of the RTI Act after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was earlier allotted to the bench of Sh.Asit Jolly, State Information Commissioner. Now the case has been reallotted to the full bench vide order of the Chief Information Commissioner dated 23.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. The respondent present informed that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 29.12.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI has been sufficiently replied and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/- Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)

Dated: 08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Department of Social Security of Women, And Child Development, Punjab, SCO-102-103, Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Department of Social Security of Women, And Child Development, Punjab, SCO-102-103, Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2675 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Akash Verma as the Appellant None for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 22.06.2020 has sought information on 06 points regarding data/records maintained by DSS WCD relating to missing children from Govt Children Home/Govt approved Private Children Home/Observation Homes/Correction Homes of Punjab from 2000-2020 comprising total number of missing children, the total number of missing children found – number of children whose photo/sketches not available in record –number of children whose complaint is pending etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Department of Social Security of Women and Child Development, Punjab. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 21.07.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Avtar Singh Kaler, State Information Commissioner on 24.11.2020. The appellant was absent. Then, Smt. Nirmal had appeared on behalf of the PIO and stated that the complete information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 17.07.2020. The Commission also received an email from the PIO on 04.11.2020 which was a copy of the letter dated 03.11.2020.

Having gone through the said letter, it was found that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant and the case was closed.

As per the order of the CIC dated 23.12.2020, the case has been allotted to the undersigned bench for hearing and final decision.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. The appellant reiterated his earlier plea that the PIO has supplied incomplete information and vide letter received in the Commission on 25.05.2021 has pleaded that the PIO be directed to furnish a duly notarized affidavit regarding the information supplied.

The respondent is absent.

Appeal Case No. 2675 of 2020

Having gone through the record, the Bench is of the view that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and this bench uphold the earlier decision of the Commission dated 24.11.2020.

The case is **closed**.

Sd/- Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)

Dated :08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/- (Khushwant Singh)

State Information Commissioner

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Education Department, Education-4, Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Education Department, Education-4, Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2108 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Akash Verma as the Appellant

Ms.Bimla Mann-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.02.2020 has sought information on 07 points VB Case/online complaint No.53141, 89575 & complaint No.316/218 vide order VB-S-6 dated 02.08.2018 vide order no.3246/VB-AS-6 dated 22.10.2019 order No.4851/VB/S-6 dated 01.02.2019 comprising a copy of affidavits submitted by complainant and statements, evidence, reports & records respondents kewal kumara, Balwinder Singh & by Mid Day Meal Incharge Patiala and Retd Vocational Coordinator Anju Gupta – a copy of proceedings of enquiry officer – summons issued to all concerned –record of enquiry reports/charge sheets etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Education Department Branch-4, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Hem Inder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 14.10.2020. The respondent Ms.Sawinder Kaur, Suptd. appeared and informed that the enquiry is under process and as soon as the same is completed, the demanded information will be provided to the appellant. The respondent was directed to file a point-wise reply within 10 days and appear personally on the next date of hearing.

On the next date of hearing on 19.11.2020, the respondent present informed that the information is ready but the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee. The appellant was directed to deposit the requisite fee and the respondent was directed to provide information before the next date of hearing.

The case was adjourned.

As per the order of the CIC dated 23.12.2020, the case has been allotted to the undersigned bench for hearing and final decision.

Appeal Case No. 2108 of 2020

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. As per the appellant, even after a deposit of Rs.700/-, the PIO has provided only 326 pages relating to point-1 and has not provided information on point-2 but the PIO has further raised a demand of Rs.600/-.

Hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing the requisite fee as per the RTI Act. The PIO is directed to allow inspection of the record and provide relevant information within 15 days once the fee is deposited by the appellant after adjusting the extra Rs. 58 which were charged for providing previous information regarding this RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)
Dated: 08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Note: The appellant appeared late and the status of the hearing was apprised to him.

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ld Sh Asit Jolly, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Akash Verma, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab State Aids Control Society, 4th Floor, Prayaas Building, Sector-38-B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab State Aids Control Society, 4th Floor, Prayaas Building, Sector-38-B, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1658 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Akash Verma as the Appellant

Dr.Amrinder Pal Singh-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 21.02.2020 sought information on 11 points regarding testing of HIV in minor children of Shelter Homes of Punjab, incompliance or order passed by Smt.Smriti Irani Ministry of WCD, New Delhi, NACO NCPCR Delhi due to tampering with records by administration of Patiala of HIV positive children affected at shelter homes of Patiala for concealment of facts in connivance with employees of Govt. A.P.Jain Civil Hospital Rajpura, employees of Govt. Rajindra Hospital Patiala and with Local NGO/counseling team who prepared fake untraceable reports of HIV affected children as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Punjab State Aids Control Society, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.03.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Avtar Singh Kaler, State Information Commissioner on 02.09.2020. The appellant informed that he had received information on points 2,3 & 6 but claimed that the PIO had not provided the information on the rest of the points. The respondent was absent and vide email informed that due to the occurrence of corona positive cases, the office of the State Aids Control Society is closed. The PIO was directed to file reply/supply information before the next date of hearing.

On the next date of hearing on 06.10.2020, the respondent informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.09.2020. As per the appellant, the information was incomplete. The PIO was directed to file a duly attested affidavit that the information which was available in the office of SPIO has already been supplied and no other information is available.

On the date of hearing on 10.11.2020, the respondent sent an affidavit dated 29.10.2020 which was taken on the file of the Commission. The PIO stated that the information on point-1 was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 14.05.2020 and information on point-7 was sent on 21.09.2020 by mentioning that total HIV tested children were 893 and only 3 children were found positive. The information on points 4 & 5 was denied being the personal information u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act as no public interest was involved in providing the names of HIV positive children.

Regarding information on points 8 to 11 being not available in the office of Public authority, the appellant was advised to get the same from the concerned public authority by filing a fresh application.

With the above observations, the case was disposed of and closed.

As per the order of the CIC dated 23.12.2020, the case has been allotted to the undersigned bench for taking a further decision.

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. The appellant claims the PIO has not supplied the complete information. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission has further insisted that he has not received the original affidavit as per the order of the Commission dated 06.10.2021 but has been supplied a copy of the affidavit.

The respondent informed that the available information has already been provided and no further information is available in their record and that an affidavit in this regard has already been filed in the Commission vide letter dated 30.10.2020.

Having gone through the record, the Bench upholds the earlier decision of the Commission. The affidavit in original is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order and a copy of same is retained in the record.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Asit Jolly) (Sanjiv Garg)

Dated: 08.02.2022 State Information Commissioner State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Note: The appellant appeared late and the status of the hearing was apprised to him.

CC: - Ld Sh Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.